LIBERAL JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE REVIEW
LJLLR
An International Double-Blind Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN (Online): 3006-3175 | ISSN (Print): 3006-3167
Volume 5, Issue 8 October, 2026

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN HIGHER EDUCATION: OPPORTUNITIES, CHALLENGES, AND IMPLICATIONS FOR TEACHING AND LEARNING

Iqra[1], Dr. Shafqat Rasool[*2], Muhammad Ashfaq Hassan Babar[3]

[1]*MPhil Scholar, Department of Education, Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan*
[*2]*Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan*
[3]*PhD Scholar, Department of Education, Govt. College University, Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan*

[1]iqrababarhussainofficial@gmail.com, [*2]dr.shafqat.rasool@gcuf.edu.pk, [3]ashfaqhassan75@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23908887

Keywords
Artificial intelligence, higher education, university classrooms, personalized learning, teaching and learning, implementation challenges

Article History
Received: *25 June 2026*
Accepted: *16 September 2026*
Published: *31 October 2026*

Copyright @Author
Corresponding Author: *
Dr. Shafqat Rasool

Abstract

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into higher education offers opportunities to enhance teaching and learning, personalize educational experiences, and provide timely academic feedback. However, its effective implementation may be constrained by technological, financial, and institutional barriers. This study investigated university students' perceptions of the opportunities and challenges associated with integrating AI into university classrooms in Faisalabad, Pakistan. A quantitative approach was employed using a descriptive survey design grounded in a positivist research philosophy. Data were collected from 200 students through stratified random sampling, comprising 100 students each from Government College University Faisalabad (GCUF) and The University of Faisalabad (TUF). A structured questionnaire using a five-point Likert scale was administered, and the data were analyzed using SPSS version 26.0. Descriptive statistics and Pearson correlation analysis were used to examine students' perceptions and relationships among the major study variables. The findings indicated positive perceptions of AI integration, with educational resource accessibility receiving the highest mean score (M = 4.39), followed by learning efficiency (M = 4.31) and independent learning (M = 4.25). Students also reported favorable perceptions of AI-supported personalized learning (M = 4.23) and immediate feedback (M = 4.20). However, inadequate AI training (M = 4.36), high implementation costs (M = 4.29), and limited technological infrastructure (M = 4.21) emerged as major challenges. Correlation analysis revealed significant positive relationships between perceived AI opportunities and teaching and learning ($r = .748$, $p < .01$) and personalized learning ($r = .716$, $p < .01$). Conversely, perceived implementation challenges were negatively associated with opportunities ($r = -.418$, $p < .01$), teaching and learning ($r = -.392$, $p < .01$), and personalized learning ($r = -.357$, $p < .01$). The study concludes that students recognize the potential of AI to support university education, but effective integration requires systematic training, adequate technological infrastructure,

LIBERAL JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE REVIEW
An International Double-Blind Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN (Online): 3006-3175 | ISSN (Print): 3006-3167
Volume 5, Issue 8 October, 2026

institutional investment, and clear policies for responsible AI use.

INTRODUCTION

Digital technologies have increasingly transformed higher education by improving teaching practices, enhancing learning environments, and supporting the efficient management of academic processes. The integration of these technologies has enabled universities to adopt innovative approaches to teaching and learning and respond to students' changing educational needs (Zawacki-Richter & Gouverneur, 2019).

Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a promising technology in higher education, offering opportunities to improve instructional practices and student learning outcomes. AI applications, including intelligent tutoring systems, learning analytics, automated assessment, and chatbots, can support teaching activities and provide students with more flexible and personalized learning experiences (Ouyang et al., 2022; Selwyn, 2019).

The effective integration of AI requires appropriate institutional policies, ethical guidelines, and clear standards governing its use in academic activities. Without proper regulations, universities may experience difficulties related to data privacy, accountability, transparency, and the responsible use of AI technologies in teaching and assessment (Caena et al., 2019).

Teacher preparedness is another important factor influencing the successful implementation of AI in higher education. Instructors require adequate technical knowledge, pedagogical skills, professional training, and institutional support to integrate AI tools effectively into their teaching practices. Insufficient preparation may limit the educational benefits of AI and create resistance among university faculty members (Pallant, 2020).

Ethical considerations also represent a significant challenge in AI-supported education. Concerns regarding academic integrity, plagiarism, algorithmic bias, and student data security may undermine the fairness and reliability of AI-assisted educational practices. Therefore, responsible implementation requires appropriate safeguards and institutional guidelines to ensure that AI technologies are used ethically and transparently (Rabelo et al., 2024).

The adoption of AI varies across higher education institutions because of differences in financial resources, technological infrastructure, institutional priorities, and staff expertise. These disparities may create unequal opportunities for students and limit the widespread implementation of AI-supported teaching and learning. Further empirical investigation is needed to understand how AI is used in university classrooms and how institutional and contextual factors influence its effectiveness (Chen et al., 2020).

Artificial intelligence refers to computer systems capable of performing tasks commonly associated with human intelligence, including problem-solving, decision-making, language comprehension, and pattern recognition. Advances in machine learning and computational technologies have expanded the application of AI across various fields, including education, where it offers new possibilities for improving teaching and learning processes (Giray, 2024).

AI-based educational technologies, such as intelligent tutoring systems, automated grading systems, learning analytics, and chatbots, can assist teachers in monitoring student progress, identifying learning difficulties, and providing timely feedback. These applications may improve instructional efficiency and support students' academic development through more responsive and personalized learning experiences (Holmes et al., 2019).

Despite these potential benefits, integrating AI into university classrooms presents challenges related to technological readiness, academic integrity, ethical responsibility, data privacy, and unequal access to digital

LIBERAL JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE REVIEW
LJLLR
An International Double-Blind Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN (Online): 3006-3175 | ISSN (Print): 3006-3167
Volume 5, Issue 8 October, 2026

resources. Addressing these challenges is essential to ensure that AI supports meaningful learning while maintaining the human dimension of education (Pedro et al., 2019).

Overall, the literature indicates that AI has considerable potential to enhance teaching effectiveness, personalize learning, and support academic decision-making in higher education. However, the successful integration of AI depends on adequate institutional support, teacher preparedness, ethical safeguards, and equitable access to technology. These considerations highlight the need for further research into AI integration in university classrooms, particularly its practical applications, educational outcomes, and implementation challenges.

Statement of the Problem:

The purpose of this study was to research about the Integrating Artificial Intelligence in university classrooms: Opportunities and Challenges. Following the teaching and learning practices, this study will help to shed more light on the process of AI integration into higher education and serve as an evidence-based basis in its future investigation and formulation of policy.

Literature Review:

Topping et al. (2022) emphasized the importance of integrating artificial intelligence (AI) into higher education to promote innovative teaching practices and address students' diverse learning needs. Similarly, Guzmán-Valenzuela et al. (2021) highlighted the potential of AI to improve instructional efficiency by automating administrative tasks and allowing teachers to focus on student learning and academic support. Dyment et al. (2020) suggested that AI-supported learning environments can promote critical thinking, problem-solving, digital literacy, and self-directed learning. Furthermore, Wang et al. (2024) argued that AI should complement rather than replace teachers, ensuring that technology supports effective and ethical teaching practices.

Dempere et al. (2023) highlighted the potential of AI to facilitate personalized and adaptive learning by addressing students' individual learning needs. Chen et al. (2020) explained that intelligent tutoring systems can provide individualized guidance, improve conceptual understanding, and support independent learning. Similarly, Papadimitriou and Virvou (2025) discussed the benefits of AI-based assessment tools in improving grading efficiency, consistency, and timely feedback. Irfan et al. (2023) indicated that interactive AI applications, such as chatbots and virtual assistants, can enhance student engagement and motivation. Moreover, Pedro et al. (2019) emphasized that AI can be more effective when integrated with human instruction through blended learning approaches rather than used as a substitute for teachers.

Su et al. (2023) identified major ethical challenges associated with AI integration in education, particularly data privacy, algorithmic bias, and threats to student autonomy. Chen et al. (2020) highlighted inadequate teacher training and limited pedagogical support as significant barriers to the effective implementation of AI in higher education. Furthermore, Naveed et al. (2023) identified inconsistencies in research findings concerning AI integration and emphasized the need for further research into its long-term educational effects and ethical implications. These findings suggest that successful AI integration requires appropriate teacher training, institutional support, ethical guidelines, and adequate technological infrastructure.

Methodology

The section provides an explanation of the data collection process, research methodology, population samples, research instrument, information gathering, data investigation process, and validity of research tools.

LIBERAL JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE REVIEW
LJLLR
An International Double-Blind Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN (Online): 3006-3175 | ISSN (Print): 3006-3167
Volume 5, Issue 8 October, 2026

The study employed a quantitative research approach using a descriptive survey design underpinned by a positivist research philosophy to investigate the opportunities and challenges of integrating artificial intelligence (AI) into university classrooms in Faisalabad, Pakistan. The target population comprised university students from Government College University Faisalabad (GCUF) and The University of Faisalabad (TUF). A sample of 200 students was selected through stratified random sampling, with 100 students from each university. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire comprising demographic information, AI awareness, opportunities, challenges, and future adoption, with responses measured on a five-point Likert scale. The instrument was reviewed by experts for content validity and pilot-tested with 20 students who were not included in the main sample. Data were collected through printed questionnaires and Google Forms after obtaining institutional permission and informed consent. The collected data were analyzed using SPSS version 26.0, employing frequencies, percentages, means, standard deviations, independent-samples t-tests, Pearson correlation, and multiple regression. Statistical significance was set at $p < .05$. Ethical principles, including voluntary participation, anonymity, and confidentiality, were maintained throughout the study.

Result and Discussion:

Demographic Profile of the Respondents

Table 1: Distribution of Students by University

University	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)
Government College University Faisalabad (GCUF)	100	50.0
The University of Faisalabad (TUF)	100	50.0
Total	200	100.0

Table 1 shows the number and frequency distribution of 200 university students based on the institution from where they were selected. Findings indicates that 100 students (50%) have been sampled from Government college university Faisalabad (GCUF) and 100 students (50%) have been sampled from The University Faisalabad (TUF). Therefore it comes out to be equal for the selected university student sample. The presence of equal representation of student is to make available comparative sample from public sector university and private sector university to identify attitudes about artificial intelligence, which makes possible for comparative statistical testing.

Table 2: Distribution of respondents by academic qualification

Academic Qualification	Frequency (f)
Undergraduate	96
BS (Hons.) Final Year	42
Master's	39
MPhil/PhD	23

Table 2 reveals the academic qualification of respondents and clearly shows that nearly half (48.0%) of students were undergraduate and 21.0% of students belong to final year BS (Hons.). A total of 19.5% are graduate (Master's Students), while the remaining 11.5%are MPhil and PhD Students. This finding shows the sample contained student at higher level education.

The undergraduate population was greater than Post Graduate Students.

LIBERAL JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE REVIEW
An International Double-Blind Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN (Online): 3006-3175 | ISSN (Print): 3006-3167
Volume 5, Issue 8 October, 2026

In future research, different level students would experience different exposure of AI, which related to their research work and course load.

Table 3: Distribution of respondent according to AI experience

Experience Using AI Tools	Number of Respondents (Frequency)
Less than 1 Year	36
1–2 Years	74
3–5 Years	59
More than 5 Years	31

Table 3 shows students experience using the AI tools. The majority of students (37.0%) experienced using the AI tools in between 1-2 years, and the second largest proportion of students (29.5%) reported experienced using the AI tools for between 3-5 years. Nearly 18.0% experienced using the AI tools less than 1 year, while 15.5% claimed experienced using AI tools for more than 5 years.

The result shows a large portion of the students possessed some practical experience on using of AI application.

Thus, perceptions and attitudes on the integration on AI among students are informed not only by knowledge but also by student own direct experience in their academic studies and activities In light of the distribution, it may be worth further investigation how the different degrees of AI experience impacts students' perspectives toward AI advantages, learning effectiveness, personalized learning and obstacles in terms of the application of AI at classroom in universities.

Opportunities of Artificial Intelligence in University Classrooms

The findings in this section will highlight the students' opinions of the opportunities generated by embedding AI into university classrooms, by drawing upon the findings related to objective one. In this section, we would like to establish what the students believe to be the primary opportunities presented by artificial intelligence into higher education, a task measured through several rating questions where students indicate to what extent they agree or disagree with a set of statements about AI as it applies to university classrooms: "Artificial intelligence makes access to online resources available for the support of their education"; "Student's ability to study remotely"; "Collaborative students' learning"; "Participation of students in the classroom"; "students' academic performance"; Artificial intelligence enables to offer personalized courses; Artificial intelligence offers online tutoring session". This information will be calculated and summarized using means and standard deviations.

LIBERAL JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE REVIEW
An International Double-Blind Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN (Online): 3006-3175 | ISSN (Print): 3006-3167
Volume 5, Issue 8 October, 2026

Table 4: Mean Scores Regarding Opportunities of Artificial Intelligence in University Classrooms ($N = 200$)

Item Code	Opportunity Statement	Mean Score
R1	AI improves students' access to educational resources.	4.39
R2	AI enhances learning efficiency.	4.31
R3	AI promotes independent learning.	4.25
R4	AI supports collaborative learning activities.	4.18
R5	AI increases classroom engagement.	4.11
R6	AI improves overall academic performance.	4.07

Table 4 shows students' perceived opportunity afforded by AI in higher education. The overall mean of 4.22 (SD=0.77) suggested students strongly agree that AI poses enormous opportunity for learning in university classrooms. Students highly agreed that AI enhances students' access to educational resources(M =4.39) since Artificial intelligence help students access educational materials more rapidly through intelligent searches, intelligent libraries, the recommendation-based systems on line, e-learning environments etc..

Simultaneously, students agreed that AI increases learning efficiency (M=4.31), which aids student understanding, completes academic exercises, and provides instant help in academic aspects.

In addition to this, students also supported the statement that AI supports students' individual learning(M=4.25), which supports students in acquiring knowledge at individual pace and enables use of personalized learning content and adaptive learning technology. Students also supported the statement that Artificial intelligence supports students' collective learning (M=4.18) by fostering student interactions, knowledge sharing and collaborative academic activities through intelligent virtual communities, e-learning platforms etc. Students agreed that artificial intelligence increases classroom participation (M=4.11). The application of interactive learning using AI could serve as a means to increase students' motivation and participation during activities.

Challenges of Integrating Artificial Intelligence into University Classrooms

Integrating AI offers vast possibilities for enhancing the learning and teaching experience, but with this, technical, educational, organizational, and financial barriers need to be overcome. Therefore, university

LIBERAL JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE REVIEW
LJLLR
An International Double-Blind Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN (Online): 3006-3175 | ISSN (Print): 3006-3167
Volume 5, Issue 8 October, 2026

students were invited to rate what they considered to be the greatest challenges that could affect AI implementation in university classrooms on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree and 5 = Strongly Agree).

Table 5 : Descriptive Statistics for Challenges of Artificial Intelligence Integration (N = 200)

Statement	Mean	Std. Deviation	Rank
Lack of proper AI training for teachers and students	4.36	0.69	1
High implementation cost of AI technologies	4.29	0.74	2
Limited technological infrastructure	4.21	0.77	3
Resistance to adopting new technologies	4.15	0.82	4
Lack of institutional policies regarding AI	4.08	0.86	5
Technical problems and system failures	4.02	0.89	6
Overall Mean	4.19	0.80	

Table 5 Shows the students' views in relation to key challenges in integrating Artificial Intelligence in higher education. The mean total score of 4.19 (SD = 0.80) indicates that there is a strong overall agreement that some barriers can deter successful integration of AI into the university's classrooms. The challenge ranked first was related to absence of adequately-trained educators and learners on the usage of AI (M = 4.36).

In students' opinions, inadequate trained personnel can result in lack of proper knowledge about the functionality and effective usage of the AI technologies when integrated in their academic activities.

The second ranked challenge was in relation to the expense of implementing the Artificial Intelligence technologies (M = 4.29), students felt that there would be expenditure on cloud services, hardware equipment, digital infrastructure, and the software systems of AI will make it costly to implement AI in the classrooms, lack ofadequate infrastructure(M = 4.21), this means lack of fast speed internet infrastructure. Furthermore, students have also shown agreement on lack of staff who are open to new technology usage(M = 4.15), poor organizational policies about AI(M = 4.08) and finally there have been technical glitches or a system crash (M = 4.02) have shown to be the most challenging areas when AI should be in place in university environment. These areas shows the importance of teacher training, well planned policies for the organization, technological support, and adequate resources among the organization for the effective incorporation of AI in academic learning.

Artificial Intelligence and Personalized Learning

The ongoing innovation and rise of AI technologies means that the prospect for individualized and personalized learning are only growing. Through dynamic modification of lesson plans and learner support, based on individual student needs, their academic level, progress and style of learning. Students were therefore surveyed for feedback and an assessment of their perceptions and experiences with AI-powered individualized learning.

LIBERAL JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE REVIEW
LJLLR
An International Double-Blind Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN (Online): 3006-3175 | ISSN (Print): 3006-3167
Volume 5, Issue 8 October, 2026

Table 6: Descriptive Statistics for AI-Supported Personalized Learning (N = 200)

Statement	Mean	Std. Deviation	Rank
AI provides individualized learning support	4.37	0.67	1
AI adapts learning materials according to students' needs	4.29	0.71	2
AI recommends suitable educational resources	4.23	0.75	3
AI enables flexible learning anytime and anywhere	4.16	0.82	4
AI helps identify students requiring additional support	4.09	0.86	5
Overall Mean	4.23	0.76	

Table 6 shows the overall perception of the students on their perceptions on Artificial Intelligence in personalized learning. It can be clearly seen that the overall mean of 4.23 reflect a strong positive perception that artificial intelligence can indeed create a more personalized learning experience . Among the perceptions, the perception 'Artificial intelligence can provide individualized learning support' was highest rated (M =4.37).

It illustrates that students believe AI can provide students academic support tailored for their personal learning.

It implies that AI adaptive learning systems that can diagnose a student's learning performance and provide necessary information, instructions, exercises and resources tailored to them. Other students also positively agree that AI can tailor lesson delivery and materials to accommodate the students' unique needs (M =4.29), recommend suitable educational resources (M=4.23), as well as facilitate their learning anytime and anywhere (M=4.16). Even the perception "AI can identify students who are in a situation that they need further assistance" has a mean of 4.09 which indicate that students believe AI could help to pinpoint learning weaknesses and provide more specific academic assistances. All together, it could be concluded that from students' point of view, one of the most useful educational benefits is to enable personalized learning.

Artificial Intelligence and Immediate Feedback

Providing prompt Feedback: Students will learn better if they receive appropriate comments about their performance. Modern Technologies Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS), machine-readable exams and learning analytics are capable of providing instant feedback on any concept to students throughout their study process and also making the process personalized. Thus, students have been inquired to rate the use of AI in providing comments in order to boost their learning and grades.

Table 7: Descriptive Statistics for AI-Supported Feedback Systems (N = 200)

Statement	Mean	Std. Deviation	Rank
AI provides immediate feedback on academic work	4.34	0.69	1
AI helps students identify learning mistakes	4.28	0.72	2
AI improves learning through continuous assessment	4.21	0.77	3
AI increases students' confidence in learning	4.12	0.82	4
AI improves communication between teachers and students	4.04	0.88	5
Overall Mean	4.20	0.78	

LIBERAL JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE REVIEW
LJLLR
An International Double-Blind Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN (Online): 3006-3175 | ISSN (Print): 3006-3167
Volume 5, Issue 8 October, 2026

Table 7 portrays students' perceptions on the usefulness of the AI enhanced feedback systems. Students' overall mean response of 4.20 (SD= 0.78) suggests a strong level of consensus among student on the usefulness of Artificial Intelligence on enhancing the academic feedback experience for their studies.

The most endorsed statement by students is that of AI will provide them with immediate feedback of their assignments, tests and learning activities (M= 4.34). While the usual method for assessment may takes an abundant of hours of the lecturer, AI enhanced feedback can provide rapid response and academic feedback to the students in identifying their misconceptions quickly, thereby enhancing their learning toward academic knowledge.

Students' also agreed that AI will be able to detect their mistakes, improve them learning through self-test (M= 4.28, M= 4.21) and enhance confidence during their learning process (M= 4.12). The mean response on the communication between the students and the teachers yielded 4.04 indicating that students are also perceived AI enhanced communication technologies being useful toward an academic context. In a general note, the results show that AI enhanced feedback systems can result in better, efficient and individualized learning experience for the university students.

Pearson Correlation Analysis

The relationships among the key variables of the study were explored using Pearson correlation analysis between the 200 college students' responses to the 200 students.

Table 8: Pearson Correlation Matrix among Study Variables (N = 200)

Variables	1	2	3	4	5
1. Opportunities	1.000				
2. Teaching & Learning	.748**	1.000			
3. Personalized Learning	.716**	.691**	1.000		
5. Challenges	-.418**	-.392**	-.357**	.621**	1.000

Note: $p < .01$

Table 8 shows the correlation coefficients for the variables under investigation. The table illustrates a significant positive correlation between Opportunities of AI and Teaching & Learning ($r = .748$, $p < .01$). Meaning that the more students have positive perceptions of the opportunities they have from AI, the more they have positive perceptions for how AI helps in teaching and learning.

Positive correlations with Opportunities of AI ($r = .716$, $p < .01$) and Teaching & Learning ($r = .691$, $p < .01$) were also observed with Personalized Learning which indicates that students who were more positive about personalized learning may also tend to view AI and its integration more positively

Meanwhile, Teaching & Learning ($r = -.286$, $p < .01$), and Personalized Learning ($r = -.244$, $p < .01$). The results show that the greater the students were concerned with ethical issues, the less they tended to have optimistic attitudes toward the educational uses of AI.

As well, Challenges was substantially negatively correlated with Opportunities of AI ($r = -.418$, $p < .01$), Teaching & Learning ($r = -.392$, $p < .01$), and Personalized Learning ($r = -.357$, $p < .01$).

Furthermore, the research indicates that there was a strong positive relationship between Ethical Issues and Challenges ($r = .621$, $p < .01$). Therefore, students who view Challenges as being more relevant to the implementation of AI are more apt to answer that Ethical Issues are more relevant.

LIBERAL JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE REVIEW
An International Double-Blind Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN (Online): 3006-3175 | ISSN (Print): 3006-3167
Volume 5, Issue 8 October, 2026

In sum, the correlation analysis revealed statistically significant relationships among the major study variables. The findings offer some initial support for the hypotheses and allows the advance to more inferential analysis. Specifically, the test of the Independent Samples t test and the multiple regression analysis.

Discussion of Findings

The findings revealed that students generally held positive perceptions of artificial intelligence (AI) integration in university classrooms. Among the perceived opportunities, access to educational resources received the highest mean score (\(M = 4.39\)), followed by learning efficiency (\(M = 4.31\)) and independent learning (\(M = 4.25\)). These results suggest that students consider AI a useful educational tool for accessing information, supporting self-directed learning, and improving learning experiences. Similarly, students expressed positive perceptions of personalized learning (\(M = 4.23\)) and AI-supported feedback (\(M = 4.20\)), particularly regarding individualized learning support (\(M = 4.37\)) and immediate feedback (\(M = 4.34\)). However, students also identified significant barriers to AI integration, including inadequate AI training (\(M = 4.36\)), high implementation costs (\(M = 4.29\)), and limited technological infrastructure (\(M = 4.21\)). Correlation analysis further demonstrated significant positive relationships between perceived AI opportunities and teaching and learning (\(r = .748, p < .01\)) and between AI opportunities and personalized learning (\(r = .716, p < .01\)). Conversely, challenges were negatively associated with perceived opportunities (\(r = -.418, p < .01\)), teaching and learning (\(r = -.392, p < .01\)), and personalized learning (\(r = -.357, p < .01\)). Overall, the findings indicate that although students recognize the educational potential of AI, its effective integration requires adequate training, institutional investment, reliable technological infrastructure, and clear institutional policies.

Recommendations

1. Provide AI training: Universities should organize regular training workshops for teachers and students to develop the knowledge and practical skills required for the effective use of AI in teaching and learning.
2. Improve technological infrastructure: Higher education institutions should invest in reliable internet connectivity, digital devices, AI software, and technical support to ensure equitable access to AI-supported learning.
3. Develop institutional AI policies: Universities should establish clear guidelines for the ethical use of AI, addressing academic integrity, plagiarism, data privacy, transparency, and responsible use in assignments and assessments.
4. Promote personalized learning and immediate feedback: Teachers should integrate appropriate AI tools to provide individualized learning support, recommend relevant educational resources, identify students' learning difficulties, and deliver timely feedback.
5. Ensure continuous evaluation of AI integration: Universities should regularly assess the effectiveness of AI applications through student feedback, academic performance, and teaching outcomes to identify implementation challenges and improve educational practices.

REFERENCES

Caena, F., & Redecker, C. (2019). Aligning teacher competence frameworks to 21st century challenges: The case for the European Digital Competence Framework for Educators (DigCompEdu). *European Journal of Education, 54*(3), 356–369.

LIBERAL JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE REVIEW
LJLLR
An International Double-Blind Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN (Online): 3006-3175 | ISSN (Print): 3006-3167
Volume 5, Issue 8 October, 2026

Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: A review. *IEEE Access, 8*, 75264–75278.

Chen, X., Xie, H., Zou, D., & Hwang, G. J. (2020). Application and theory gaps during the rise of artificial intelligence in education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 1*, Article 100002.

Dempere, J., Modugu, K., Hesham, A., & Ramasamy, L. K. (2023). The impact of ChatGPT on higher education. *Frontiers in Education, 8*, Article 1206936.

Giray, L. (2024). *[Full publication details needed].*

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Naveed, Q. N., Choudhary, H., Ahmad, N., Alqahtani, J., & Qahmash, A. I. (2023). Mobile learning in higher education: A systematic literature review. *Sustainability, 15*(18), Article 13566.

Ouyang, F., Zheng, L., & Jiao, P. (2022). Artificial intelligence in online higher education: A systematic review of empirical research from 2011 to 2020. *Education and Information Technologies, 27*(6), 7893–7925.

Pallant, J. (2020). *SPSS survival manual: A step-by-step guide to data analysis using IBM SPSS*. Routledge.

Pedro, F., Subosa, M., Rivas, A., & Valverde, P. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development*. UNESCO.

Rabelo, A., Rodrigues, M. W., Nobre, C., Isotani, S., & Zárate, L. (2024). Educational data mining and learning analytics: A review of educational management in e-learning. *Information Discovery and Delivery, 52*(2), 149–163.

Selwyn, N. (2019). *Should robots replace teachers? AI and the future of education*. Polity Press.

Su, J., Ng, D. T. K., & Chu, S. K. W. (2023). Artificial intelligence (AI) literacy in early childhood education: The challenges and opportunities. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 4*, Article 100124.

Topping, K. J., Douglas, W., Robertson, D., & Ferguson, N. (2022). Effectiveness of online and blended learning from schools: A systematic review. *Review of Education, 10*(2), Article e3353.

Wang, S., Wang, H., Jiang, Y., Li, P., & Yang, W. (2023). Understanding students' participation of intelligent teaching: An empirical study considering artificial intelligence usefulness, interactive reward, satisfaction, university support and enjoyment. *Interactive Learning Environments, 31*(9), 5633–5649.

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education—Where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*, Article 39.